

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
SHREVEPORT DIVISION

DAVID EASTER

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-382-P

VERSUS

JUDGE FOOTE

ARRON CHRISTIAN, ET AL.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In accordance with the standing order of this court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff David Easter (“Plaintiff”), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this court on March 9, 2017. Plaintiff complains that his civil rights were violated during his arrest. He names the Haynesville Police Department, Officer Arron Christian, and Officer John Stevens as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on November 16, 2018, to furnish the Clerk of Court in Lafayette, Louisiana, one (1) copy of the complaint, two (2) completed summonses and one (1) completed USM 285 form for each defendant for service within 30 days of service of the memorandum order [Doc. 18]. To date, Plaintiff has not done so.

Accordingly;


IT IS RECOMMENDED that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the court and under the court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1982).

OBJECTIONS

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this
20th day of February 2019.



Mark L. Hornsby
U.S. Magistrate Judge